# MINUTES FOR THE COURT OF APPEAL STATE OF CALIFORNIA SECOND APPELLATE DISTRICT

## May 22, 2006

## **DIVISION TWO**

B190636 Jeffries

v.

Southard, et al.

Filed order granting motion to dismiss appeal. Appeal (notice of appeal

filed April 11, 2006) dismissed.

#### **DIVISION FOUR**

B175932 People

v.

**Taylor** 

Filed order denying petition for rehearing.

#### **DIVISION FIVE**

B182432 Kaylee Grisham (Not for Publication)

V.

**Devin Porter** 

The judgment is affirmed. Respondent(s) to recover costs.

Mosk, J.

We concur: Turner, P.J.

Kriegler, J.

## May 22, 2006 (Continued)

### **DIVISION SEVEN**

B188204 Calhoun (Not for Publication)

v.

Superior Court, Los Angeles County (People, r.p.i.)

The petition for writ of mandate or prohibition is granted. The trial court is directed to vacate its order denying petitioner's section 995 motion and to enter a new order setting aside the robbery counts.

Zelon, J.

We concur: Johnson, Acting P.J.

Woods, J.

B178951 People (Not for Publication)

v. Jones

The judgment is modified to strike the section 12022.5, subdivision (a), enhancement relating to the murder offense in count 1. As modified, the judgment is affirmed.

Perluss, P.J.

We concur: Woods, J.

Zelon, J.

B178152 Restadius, Jr., (Not for Publication)

v

U-Haul Company of California

The order is reversed and remanded with directions to enter an order overruling the demurrer to the first cause of action and sustaining the demurrer to the second cause of action of the FAC. Appellant to recover costs on appeal.

Woods, J.

We concur: Johnson, Acting P.J.

Zelon, J.

## May 22, 2006 (Continued)

## DIVISION SEVEN (Continued)

B183336 Menachem S., et al., (Not for Publication)

v.

The Los Angeles Unified School District et al.,

The judgment is affirmed as to appellants' failure to warn and breach of fiduciary duty claims. The judgment is reversed in all other respects and remanded to the trial court for further proceedings. On remand, the trial court is directed to vacate its prior order sustaining the demurrer on the basis of the statute of limitations defense, and enter a new order: (1) overruling the demurrer as to the general negligence, negligent training and negligent infliction of emotional distress claims and negligence per se theory; and (2) sustaining the demurrer with leave to amend pursuant to Code of Civil Procedure section 472a, subdivision (c) as to the negligent supervision claim. Appellants are entitled to costs on appeal.

Woods, J.

We concur: Perluss, P.J.

Zelon, J.

B182738 Ross

v.

Figueroa

Filed order modifying opinion. (Change in judgment)